

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,326	TUSZKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Reifsnyder	1723	

All Participants:

(1) David A. Reifsnyder.

(2) Wlodzimierz J. Tuszko.
Status of Application: To Be Abandoned

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2005
Time: PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant stated that no response was filed in response to the office action mailed on September 22, 2004, because the case was meant to be abandoned in favor of a CIP application. See the attached Notice of Abandonment

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)